

1 TIMOTHY P. MURPHY, ESQ. (Bar No. 120920)
2 DOLORES M. DONOHUE, ESQ. (Bar No. 111432)
3 EDRINGTON, SCHIRMER & MURPHY
4 2300 Contra Costa Blvd., Ste 450
5 Pleasant Hill, CA 94523
6 Telephone: (925) 827-3300

7 Attorney for Defendants, ANTIOCH UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT;
8 DEBORAH SIMS, JO ELLA ALLEN, ROBERT BRAVO,
9 ANDY CANNON, RON LEONE, and BUKKY OYEBADE,
10 individually and in their official capacities.
11 (CASE NO.: C08-01709 SI)

12 Attorneys for Defendants
13 HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE COUNTY OF CONTRA COSTA, ELIZABETH
14 CAMPBELL, KATHY GUZMAN and JOANNA RODRIGUEZ
15 (CASE NO.: C08-01914 JCS)

16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
17
18 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

19 DEARMAND E., a minor, by and through
20 DEARMAND ELLIS, JR., his father/legal
21 guardian; MICHAEL H., a minor, by and
22 through ONITA TUGGLES, his mother/legal
23 guardian; and NICHOLAS P., a minor, by and
24 through BETTINA LAWRENCE, his
25 mother/legal guardian.

26 Plaintiffs,

v.

1 CITY OF ANTIOCH, ANTIOCH POLICE
2 DEPARTMENT, JAMES HYDE, Chief of
3 Police, Antioch Police Department, in his
4 individual and official capacities; OFFICER
5 JAMES VINCENT (#3747), OFFICER
6 LEROY BLOXSOM (#2083), OFFICER
7 PFEIFFER (#3707), OFFICER M. ZEPEDA
8 (#4137), SERGEANT KEVIN ROGERS
9 (#2464), OFFICER R. SOLARI (#2372), in
10 their individual and official capacities;
11 ANTIOCH UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT;
12 DEBORAH SIMS, JO ELLA ALLEN,
13 ROBERT BRAVO, ANDY CANNON, RON
14 LEONE, and BUKKY OYEBADE,
15 individually and in their official capacities.

Defendants.

CASE NO.: C08-01709 SI

**[PROPOSED] ORDER IN SUPPORT OF
OPPOSITION TO ADMINISTRATIVE
MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER
CASES SHOULD BE RELATED (L.R.3-12)
BY DEFENDANTS ANTIOCH UNIFIED
SCHOOL DISTRICT; DEBORAH SIMS, JO
ELLA ALLEN, ROBERT BRAVO,
ANDY CANNON, RON LEONE, and BUKKY
OYEBADE, individually and in their official
capacities**

(N.D. Local Rule No. 3-12(e))

1 Plaintiff in the case of *Williams v. City of Antioch* (No. 08-02301 SBA) filed this
2 Administrative Motion to request that the following cases be considered related:

- 3 1. *Williams v. City of Antioch* (No. 08-02301 SBA);
- 4 2. *DeArmand E. v. City of Antioch* (No. 08-01709 SI); and
- 5 3. *Tuggles v. City of Antioch* (No. 08-01914 JCS).

6 Upon review of plaintiffs' papers and opposition papers, it is order that the three cases are
7 not related pursuant to Local Rule 3-12.

8
9
10 DATED:

11 _____
JUDGE SUSAN ILLSTON
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26